

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	₩ 1.				
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/728,455	12/01/2000	Paul M. Yates	2711	2487
	26822 75	590 09/29/2003		_	
	WALTER A. HACKLER 2372 S.E. BRISTOL, SUITE B NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660-0755			EXAMINER	
				MARSH, STE	
			·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3632	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	_	$\leq \mathcal{W}$			
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
e		09/728,455	YATES, PAUL M.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Steven M Marsh	3632			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sistence of the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the main and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply treply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	<u>0 June 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	ند س			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under					
·	on of Claims					
-	Claim(s) 1-5 and 22-34 is/are pending in the					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.				
· _	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 22-34</u> is/are rejected.					
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	d/or election requirement.				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) 🗌 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak k$	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)⊡ approved b)⊡ disar	pproved by the Examiner.			
. —	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Appli	cation No			
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International I see the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	·				
_a) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has been	received.			
Attachmen	_	E animo: 40 616161 33				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Information	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)



Application/Control Number: 09/728,455

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

This is the fifth office action for U.S. Application 09/728,455 for a Cushion with Lubricated Particulates and Method of Manufacture filed by Paul M. Yates on December 1, 2000.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 5 is dependent upon itself. For examination purposes it is assumed that Claim 5 is dependent upon independent claim 1. Claim 25 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 25 is dependent upon claim 20, which has been canceled. It is assumed for examination purposes that claim 25 is dependent upon independent claim 22. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-5, 22-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,954,303 to Wolf et al in view of U.S. Patent 5,633,286 to Chen. Wolf et al. discloses a cover (32) that is stretched and can be molded to a selected contour. The cover prevents leakage and has a backing (16) and disposed within the cover there is a core with a volume of separate, open-cell foam particulates (see fig. 2 and column 4, lines 56-67) which are compressible and wherein a liquid can be partially disposed (through absorption). There is a gel 34 between the particulates which can enable

pplication/Control Number: 09/728,455

Art Unit: 3632

lubricated movement of the particulates with respect to one another in response to an outside force applied to the cover and prevents sensing of the particulates by a user.

Wolf et al. does not specifically disclose the exact combination of foam particulates and gel, however, Wolf et al. discloses that the pad could be formed of a combination of materials including gel and open or closed cell foam. Chen discloses that a composite can be made of a gel formed in combination with other materials, including open cell foam and sponges. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to have utilized the composite taught by Chen, for the pad taught by Wolf et al., for the purpose of providing a pad that gives the user increased comfort.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 22-35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Marsh whose telephone number is (703) 305-0098. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM to 4:30 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

Application/Control Number: 09/728,455

Art Unit: 3632

number is (703) 308-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3597.

JW.2

Steven M. Marsh

September 17, 2003

Korie Chan

Primary Examiner